Black text: FEMA's comments

Red text: Monmouth County's responses

The Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The <u>Regulation Checklist</u> provides a summary of FEMA's evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The <u>Plan Assessment</u> identifies the plan's strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Monmouth County	Title of Plan:	str. Nov. Jorsov	Date of Plan:		
Wollingth County	Monmouth Cour Multi-Jurisdiction		April 2020		
	Mitigation Plan		-		
Local Point of Contact:		Address:			
Michael Oppegaard		2000 Kozloski Road			
Title:		Freehold, NJ 07728			
Monmouth County OEM Coordinator					
Agency: Monmouth County					
Phone Number: 732-431-7400		E-Mail: MOppega	ard@mcsonj.org		
Plan Website: www.MoCoHMP.co	<u>m</u>	1			

State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Howard Wolf	Contract Mitigation Planner	4/23/2020
Christopher Testa	Mitigation Unit Manager	6/23/2020

FEMA Reviewer: Jack Heide	Title: Acting Supervisory Community Planner	Date: July 27, 2020 August 27, 2020
Date Received in FEMA Region (insert #)	June 23, 2020	
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption	August 27, 2020	

SECTION 1:

REGULATION CHECKLIST

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been 'Met' or 'Not Met.' The 'Required Revisions' summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is 'Not Met.' Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan		Not
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	(section and/or page number)	Met	Met
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS			
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Section 3.1, 3.2, 3.3	x	
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Section 3.1	х	
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Section 3.1, 3. 3, 3.4	х	
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Section 3.1, 3.4, 5.2, 5.6	х	
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	Section 7	х	
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	Section 7	х	
ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan		Not
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	(section and/or page number)	Met	Met
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSES	SMENT		
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and	Section 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5,	Χ	
extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)?	4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10,		
(Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.14		
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of	Section 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5,	Х	
hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for	4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10,		
each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.14		
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on	Section 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5,	Χ	
the community as well as an overall summary of the community's	4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10,		
vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.14		
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the	Appendix Volume I-	Х	
jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Jurisdictional		
(Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Information (Confidential		
	Version), Section 6.4		

ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS

B1 Recommendation: In the next plan update please ensure that any maps provided are scaled to the planning area. Maps showcasing hazards at a national, state, or regional level are difficult to analysis at the local jurisdictional scale. Often maps of any hazards can be found at the community level, understand that some hazards are more difficult than others but please make sure hazard maps are readable and localized in the future.

Response: The County agrees that some of the maps are produced at a regional scale and will consider innovation layouts and online mapping to allow local jurisdictions to zoom into their location in future updates. As part of this plan update, the consultant will provide the County GIS Department with all of the GIS data used to produce this plan.

ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY			
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Appendix Volume I- Jurisdictional Information Capability Assessment Worksheets Section 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6	Х	
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Appendix Volume I- Jurisdictional Information Capability Assessment Worksheets, Section 5.2.8	Х	
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Section 6.2	Х	
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Appendix Volume I- Jurisdictional Information, Section 6.4	X	

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Appendix Volume I- Jurisdictional Information, Section 6.4	Х	
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Section 5.6	х	

ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS

C1 Recommendation: The capability assessment is largely just a list of programs, policies, laws, and regulations that impact Monmouth County and municipal jurisdictions with a basic description of each of these items; however, there is little to no analysis about the successes, challenges, or issues any of these programs, policies, laws, and regulations and how they impact the county's ability to implement a successful mitigation program. In the next update of the plan please provide a narrative of the general success, obstacles, and challenges with any or all of these programs, policies, laws, or regulations to implement the county's hazard mitigation program.

Response: The County follows the standardized capability assessment, however the County recognizes when municipalities exceeded the minimum capabilities and highlighted those achievements in the capability assessment.

ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan						
updates only)						
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Section 2.3	Χ				
(Requirement §201.6(d)(3))						
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation	Appendix Volume I-	Х				
efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Jurisdictional					
	Information, Section 6.4.					
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Appendix Volume I-	Х				
(Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Jurisdictional					
	Information, Section 6.4					

ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS

D1 Recommendations: The plan includes a lot of great information and narrative on past, current, and future development and redevelopment throughout the planning area. The plan also includes a lot of great and detailed maps. In the next plan update we recommend overlaying all this great information on the past and future with hazard maps and providing deeper analysis and narrative of risks and vulnerabilities faced by the jurisdictions. The use of building permit data is great as well, but it should be focused on permits issued within mapped or known hazard areas, with an emphasis on the SFHA and also the sea level rise areas.

Response: We agree that overlaying past, current, and future development on the past and future hazard data will provide a deeper analysis and narrative of risks and vulnerabilities faced by the jurisdictions. We will consider this added value in the next plan update.

ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION			
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been	Section 8	NA	
formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction	Appendix Volume II D		
requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	*once adopted*	ļ	

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan (section and/or	-	Not
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	page number)	Met	Met
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting	Section 8	NA	
approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Appendix Volume II D *once adopted*		
ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS	once adopted		
OPTIONAL: HIGH HAZARD POTENTIAL DAM RISKS			
HHPD1. Did Element A4 (planning process) describe the	Section 4.5	Х	
incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information for high hazard potential dams?			
HHPD2. Did Element B3 (risk assessment) address HHPDs?	Section 4.5	Х	
HHPD3. Did Element C3 (mitigation goals) include mitigation goals	Section 6.2.2	Х	
to reduce long-term vulnerabilities from high hazard potential			
dams that pose an unacceptable risk to the public?			
HHPD4. Did Element C4-C5 (mitigation actions) address HHPDs	Appendix Volume I-	Х	
prioritize mitigation actions to reduce vulnerabilities from high	Jurisdictional Information		
hazard potential dams that pose an unacceptable risk to the public?	information		
REQUIRED REVISIONS			
Please Note: The Monmouth County Hazard Mitigation Plan will be	e approved for having met	the	
requirements for HHPD; however, until the New Jersey State Hazar			
requirements for HHPD the county will not be eligible to apply for	_		
working to update the SHMP to reflect the requirements of HHPD	Grants.		
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTI	ONAL FOR STATE REV	IEWERS	,
ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)			
1. NJOEM recommends that survey results and comments			
on the Monmouth County 2020 HMP that are received			
from regional stakeholders be included in the next			
annual plan update and incorporated in the planning			
Element A and pertinent findings in other appropriate			
elements of the next plan update			
2.			
3.			
	1		ı
ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS			

SECTION 3: MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)

INSTRUCTIONS: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were 'Met' or 'Not Met,' and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

		Jurisdiction				Requirements Met (Y/N)					
#	Jurisdictio n Name	Type (city/borough / township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Email	Phone	A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
1	Aberdeen	Township	Rick Derechailo	rick.derechailo@aber deennj.org	732-583-4200 Ext. 220	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
2	Allenhurst	Borough	Donna Campagna	dcampagna@allenhu rstnj.org	732-531-2757	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
3	Allentown	Borough	Lori Roth	clerk@allentownboro nj.com	609-259-3151	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
4	Asbury Park	City	Garrett Giberson	garrett.gibersonjr@ci tyofasburypark.com	732-502-0364	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
5	Atlantic Highlands	Borough	Adam Hubeny	ahubeny@ahnj.com	732-291-1444 x3101	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
6	Avon-by- the-Sea	Borough	Kenneth Child	Kenchild@optonline. net	732-502-4510	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
7	Belmar	Borough	Edward Kirschenbaum	ekirschenbaum@bel mar.com	732-681-3700 Ext.215	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
8	Bradley Beach	Borough	Leonard Guida	Lguida@bradleybeac hpd.com	732-775-6900	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
9	Brielle	Borough	Thomas Nolan	Tnolan@brielleboro.c om	732-528-6000	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	Υ
10	Colts Neck	Township	Kathleen Capristo	kcapristo@coltsneck .org	732-462-5470	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ

		Jurisdiction Type (city/borough / township/ village, etc.)		Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
#	Jurisdictio n Name		Plan POC			A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
11	Deal	Borough	Matthew Sharin	msharin@dealpolice. org	732-531-1113	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ
12	Eatontown	Borough	William A. Mego III	Wmego45@verizon. net	732-904-6950	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Y
13	Englishto wn	Borough	Lt. Peter S. Cooke, Jr.	oem@englishtownnj. com	732-446-7001 x 30	Y	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y
14	Fair Haven	Borough	Chief, Joe McGovern	Jmcgovern@fhboro. net	732-747-0241 ext 301	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
15	Farmingda le	Borough	Robert Lewis	blewis@twp.howell.n j.us	732-938-4500	Y	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y
16	Freehold	Borough	Henry A. Stryker	hstryker@freeholdbo ro.org	732-462-4903	Y	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y
17	Freehold	Township	Lt. Ray Piccolini	rpiccolini@twp.freeh old.nj.us	732-294-2141	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Y
18	Hazlet	Township	Thomas Horner	oem@hazlettwp.org	732-264-1700	Y	N	Y	Υ	Υ	Y
19	Highlands	Borough	Kim Gonzales	KGonzales@highlan dsborough.org	732-872-1224 x 203	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	Υ
20	Holmdel	Township	Detective Eric Hernando	ehernando@holmdel police.org	732-946-9690 x 1744	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Y
21	Howell	Township	Robert Lewis	rlewis@twp.howell.nj .us	732-414-3239	Y	N	Y	Υ	Υ	Y
22	Interlaken	Borough	Lori Reibrich	lreibrich@interlakenb oro.com	732-531-7405	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ
23	Keansburg	Borough	Gerald Paige	GPaige@tandmasso ciates.com	732.671.6400	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y
24	Keyport	Borough	Stephen J. Gallo	sgallo@keyportonlin e.com	732.739.5122	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

		Jurisdiction	pugh Plan POC	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
#	Jurisdictio n Name	Type (city/borough / township/ village, etc.)				A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
25	Lake Como	Borough	Louise Mekosh	lmekosh@boro.lake- como.nj.us	732-681-3232 Ext. 202	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
26	Little Silver	Borough	Kimberly Jungfer	kjungfer@littlesilver. org	732-842-2400	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
27	Loch Arbour	Village	Marilyn Simons	msimons@lockarbo ur.us	732-531-4740	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ
28	Long Branch	City	Charlie Shirley Jr.	cshirley@longbranch .org	732-222- 1000x5462	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
29	Manalapa n	Township	James Winckowski	JWinckowski@cmeu sa1.com	732-887-9528	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ
30	Manasqua n	Borough	Chris Tucker	oem@manasquan- nj.com	732-223-0101	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ
31	Marlboro	Township	Chief Bruce Hall	behall@marlboropd. org	732-536-0100	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Y	Υ
32	Matawan	Borough	Chief, Thomas J. Falco	732-290-2024	tfalco@matawan police.org	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Y	Υ
33	Middletow n	Township	Charles W. Rogers III	Crogers@middletow nnj.org	732-615-2129	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Y	Υ
34	Millstone	Township	Roger Staib	twpadm@millstonenj .gov	732.446.4249 ext. 1703	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
35	Monmout h Beach	Borough	Gerald Paige	GPaige@tandmasso ciates.com	732.671.6400	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Y	Υ
36	Neptune	City	Keith Mitchell, Det. Sgt.	KMitchell@Neptune CityPolice.org	732-775-1455- 0139	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ
37	Neptune	Township	Leeanne Hoffmann	lhoffmann@neptunet ownship.org	732 988 5200 x 228	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	Υ
38	Ocean	Township	Michael Muscillo	police@oceantwp.or g	732-531-1800	Y	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ

		Jurisdiction						Requireme	nts Met (Y/N)		
#	Jurisdictio n Name	Type (city/borough / township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Email	Phone	A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
39	Oceanport	Borough	Mauro "Buzz" Baldanza	Opd415@verizon.net	732-222-8221	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
40	Reb Bank	Borough	Ziad Shehady	zshehady@redbankn j.org	(732) 530-2748	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Υ
41	Roosevelt	Borough	Rob Masterson	oem@rooseveltnj.or g	732-768-8128	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Υ
42	Rumson	Borough	Tom Rodgers	trogers@rumsonnj.g ov	732-842-3300	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
43	Sea Bright	Borough	Dan Chernavsky	dchernavsky@seabri ghtnj.org	732-842-0010	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
44	Sea Girt	Borough	Timothy Harmon	tharmon@seagirtbor o.com	732-449-9433	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
45	Shrewsbur y	Borough	Jerzy Chojnacki	shrewsburyoem@ya hoo.com	732-741-2500	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
46	Shrewsbur y	Township	Tom Welsh	twelsh@townshipofS hrewsbury.co	732-904-6865	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
47	Spring Lake	Borough	Chief Ed Kerr	ekerr@springlakebor o.org	732-449-1234	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
48	Spring Lake Heights	Borough	Christopher Campion	ccampion@springlak ehts.com	732-449-3500	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
49	Tinton Falls	Borough	Thomas Neff	TNeff@tandmassoci ates.com	732.671.6400	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
50	Union Beach	Borough	Dana Webb	DWebb@tandmasso ciates.com	732.671.6400	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
51	Upper Freehold	Township	Dana Tyler	dtyler@uftnj.com	609-758-7738 x210	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Y	Υ
52	Wall	Township	Jonathan Gramlich	Jgramlich@wallpolic e.org	(732) 449-4500 ext. 1179	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Y

		Jurisdiction				Requirements Met (Y/N)					
#	Jurisdictio n Name	Type (city/borough / township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Email	Phone	A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
53	West Long Branch	Borough	Steve Cioffi	scioffi@westlongbra nch.org	732-904-6723	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ