

Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool

Cover Page

The Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool (PRT) demonstrates how the local mitigation plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR § 201.6 and offers states and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the local governments, including special districts.

1. The Multi-Jurisdictional Summary Sheet is a worksheet that is used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the plan elements (Planning Process; Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Maintenance; Plan Update; and Plan Adoption).
2. The Plan Review Checklist summarizes FEMA’s evaluation of whether the plan has addressed all requirements.

For greater clarification of the elements in the Plan Review Checklist, please see Section 4 of this guide. Definitions of the terms and phrases used in the PRT can be found in Appendix E of this guide.

Plan Information	
Jurisdiction(s)	Monmouth County, New Jersey
Title of Plan	Monmouth County, New Jersey Multi-Jurisdictional All Hazard Mitigation Plan
New Plan or Update	2026 Update
Single- or Multi-Jurisdiction	Multi-Jurisdictional
Date of Plan	TBD
Local Point of Contact	
Title	Stephanie Seymour, Emergency Management Analyst
Agency	Monmouth County Sheriff's Office
Address	2500 Kozloski Road, Freehold, NJ 07728
Phone Number	732-431-6400 x 1805
Email	SSeymour@mcsnj.org

Additional Point of Contact	
Title	Scott Nielsen, Emergency Management Coordinator
Agency	Monmouth County Sheriff's Office
Address	2500 Kozloski Road, Freehold, NJ 07728
Phone Number	732-431-6400 x 1801
Email	SNielsen@mconj.org

Review Information	
State Review	
State Reviewer(s) and Title	Alyssa Meredith Principal Planner
State Review Date	Click or tap to enter a date.
FEMA Review	
FEMA Reviewer(s) and Title	Click or tap here to enter text.
Date Received in FEMA Region	Click or tap to enter a date.
Plan Not Approved	Click or tap to enter a date.
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption	Click or tap to enter a date.
Plan Approved	Click or tap to enter a date.

Multi-Jurisdictional Summary Sheet

In the boxes for each element, mark if the element is met (Y) or not met (N).

#	Jurisdiction Name	A. Planning Process	B. Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Maintenance	E. Plan Update	F. Plan Adoption	G. HHPD Requirements	H. State Requirements
1	Aberdeen Twp								
2	Allenhurst Boro								
3	Allentown Boro								
4	Asbury Park City								
5	Atlantic Highlands Boro								
6	Avon-By-The-Sea Boro								
7	Belmar Boro								
8	Bradley Beach Boro								
9	Brielle Boro								
10	Colts Neck Twp								
11	Deal Boro								
12	Eatontown Boro								
13	Englishtown Boro								
14	Fair Haven Boro								
15	Farmingdale Boro								
16	Freehold Boro								
17	Freehold Twp								
18	Hazlet Twp								
19	Highlands Boro								

#	Jurisdiction Name	A. Planning Process	B. Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Maintenance	E. Plan Update	F. Plan Adoption	G. HHPD Requirements	H. State Requirements
20	Holmdel Twp								
21	Howell Twp								
22	Interlaken Boro								
23	Keansburg Boro								
24	Keyport Boro								
25	Lake Como								
26	Little Silver Boro								
27	Loch Arbour Village								
28	Long Branch City								
29	Manalapan Twp								
30	Manasquan Boro								
31	Marlboro Twp								
32	Matawan Boro								
33	Middletown Twp								
34	Millstone Twp								
35	Monmouth Beach Boro								
36	Neptune Twp								
37	Neptune City Boro								
38	Ocean Twp								
39	Oceanport Boro								
40	Red Bank Boro								

#	Jurisdiction Name	A. Planning Process	B. Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Maintenance	E. Plan Update	F. Plan Adoption	G. HHPD Requirements	H. State Requirements
41	Roosevelt Boro								
42	Rumson Boro								
43	Sea Bright Boro								
44	Sea Girt Boro								
45	Shrewsbury Boro								
46	Shrewsbury Twp								
47	Spring Lake Boro								
48	Spring Lake Heights Boro								
49	Tinton Falls Boro								
50	Union Beach Boro								
51	Upper Freehold Twp								
52	Wall Twp								
53	West Long Branch Boro								

Plan Review Checklist

The Plan Review Checklist is completed by FEMA. States and local governments are encouraged, but not required, to use the PRT as a checklist to ensure all requirements have been met prior to submitting the plan for review and approval. The purpose of the checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the plan by element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been “met” or “not met.” FEMA completes the “required revisions” summary at the bottom of each element to clearly explain the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is “not met.” Sub-elements in each summary should be referenced using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each element and sub-element are described in detail in Section 4: Local Plan Requirements of this guide.

Plan updates must include information from the current planning process.

If some elements of the plan do not require an update, due to minimal or no changes between updates, the plan must document the reasons for that.

Multi-jurisdictional elements must cover information unique to all participating jurisdictions.

Element A: Planning Process

Element A Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
A1. Does the plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(1))		
A1-a. Does the plan document how the plan was prepared, including the schedule or time frame and activities that made up the plan’s development, as well as who was involved?	Section 3.1, page 3.0-2	Choose an item.
A1-b. Does the plan list the jurisdiction(s) participating in the plan that seek approval, and describe how they participated in the planning process?	Section 2.1, Page 2.0-2 Section 3.3, Page 3.0-3	Choose an item.

Element A Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
A2. Does the plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as businesses, academia, and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(b)(2))		
A2-a. Does the plan identify all stakeholders involved or given an opportunity to be involved in the planning process, and how each stakeholder was presented with this opportunity?	Section 3.3, Page 3.0-5	Choose an item.
A3. Does the plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(b)(1))		
A3-a. Does the plan document how the public was given the opportunity to be involved in the planning process and how their feedback was included in the plan?	Section 3.6, Pages 3.0-14 - 15	Choose an item.
A4. Does the plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(b)(3))		
A4-a. Does the plan document what existing plans, studies, reports and technical information were reviewed for the development of the plan, as well as how they were incorporated into the document?	Section 5.6, Page 5.0-13	Choose an item.
ELEMENT A REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision: Click or tap here to enter text.		

Element B: Risk Assessment

Element B Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
B1. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction? Does the plan also include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(2)(i))		
B1-a. Does the plan describe all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s) in the planning area, and does it provide the rationale if omitting any natural hazards that are commonly recognized to affect the jurisdiction(s) in the planning area?	Section 4.1 – Section 4.18	Choose an item.
B1-b. Does the plan include information on the location of each identified hazard?	Section 4.4 – Section 4.25	Choose an item.
B1-c. Does the plan describe the extent for each identified hazard?	Section 4.4 – Section 4.25	Choose an item.
B1-d. Does the plan include the history of previous hazard events for each identified hazard?	Section 4.4 – Section 4.25	Choose an item.
B1-e. Does the plan include the probability of future events for each identified hazard, including the type, location and range of anticipated intensities?	Section 4.4 – Section 4.25	Choose an item.
B1-f. For participating jurisdictions in a multi-jurisdictional plan, does the plan describe any hazards that are unique to and/or vary from those affecting the overall planning area?	Appendices Volume I - Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
B2. Does the plan include a summary of the jurisdiction’s vulnerability and the impacts on the community from the identified hazards? Does this summary also address NFIP-insured structures that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(2)(ii))		
B2-a. Does the plan provide an overall summary of each jurisdiction’s vulnerability to the identified hazards?	Appendices Volume I - Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
B2-b. For each participating jurisdiction, does the plan describe the potential impacts of each of the identified hazards on each participating jurisdiction?	Appendices Volume I - Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
B2-c. Does the plan address NFIP-insured structures within each jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Appendices Volume I - Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.

ELEMENT B REQUIRED REVISIONS

Required Revision:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

Element C Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
C1. Does the plan document each participant’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3))		
C1-a. Does the plan describe how the existing capabilities of each participant are available to support the mitigation strategy? Does this include a discussion of the existing building codes and land use and development ordinances or regulations?	Section 5.2 – Section 5.5 Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
C1-b. Does the plan describe each participant’s ability to expand and improve the identified capabilities to achieve mitigation?	Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
C2. Does the plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(ii))		
C2-a. Does the plan contain a narrative description or a table/list of their participation activities?	Section 5.5, page 5.0-10	Choose an item.
C3. Does the plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(i))		
C3-a. Does the plan include goals to reduce the risk from the hazards identified in the plan?	Section 6.2, page 6.0-2	Choose an item.

Element C Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
C4. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(ii))		
C4-a. Does the plan include an analysis of a comprehensive range of actions/projects that each jurisdiction considered to reduce the impacts of hazards identified in the risk assessment?	Section 6.4, page 6.0-4 Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
C4-b. Does the plan include one or more action(s) per jurisdiction for each of the hazards as identified within the plan’s risk assessment?	Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
C5. Does the plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including a cost-benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))		
C5-a. Does the plan describe the criteria used for prioritizing actions?	Section 6.3, page 6.0-3	Choose an item.
C5-b. Does the plan provide the position, office, department or agency responsible for implementing/administrating the identified mitigation actions, as well as potential funding sources and expected time frame?	Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
ELEMENT C REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision: Click or tap here to enter text.		

Element D: Plan Maintenance

Element D Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
D1. Is there discussion of how each community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(iii))		
D1-a. Does the plan describe how communities will continue to seek future public participation after the plan has been approved?	Section 7.4, page 7.0-3	Choose an item.
D2. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle)? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(i))		
D2-a. Does the plan describe the process that will be followed to track the progress/status of the mitigation actions identified within the Mitigation Strategy, along with when this process will occur and who will be responsible for the process?	Section 7.2, page 7.0-2	Choose an item.
D2-b. Does the plan describe the process that will be followed to evaluate the plan for effectiveness? This process must identify the criteria that will be used to evaluate the information in the plan, along with when this process will occur and who will be responsible.	Section 7.2, page 7.0-2	Choose an item.
D2-c. Does the plan describe the process that will be followed to update the plan, along with when this process will occur and who will be responsible for the process?	Section 7.3, page 7.0-3	Choose an item.
D3. Does the plan describe a process by which each community will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(4)(ii))		
D3-a. Does the plan describe the process the community will follow to integrate the ideas, information and strategy of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms?	Section 5.5, page 5.0-8	Choose an item.
D3-b. Does the plan identify the planning mechanisms for each plan participant into which the ideas, information and strategy from the mitigation plan may be integrated?	Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
D3-c. For multi-jurisdictional plans, does the plan describe each participant's individual process for integrating information from the mitigation strategy into their identified planning mechanisms?	Section 5.6, page 5.0-16	Choose an item.

ELEMENT D REQUIRED REVISIONS

Required Revision:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Element E: Plan Update

Element E Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
E1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(d)(3))		
E1-a. Does the plan describe the changes in development that have occurred in hazard-prone areas that have increased or decreased each community’s vulnerability since the previous plan was approved?	Section 2.3, page 2.0-9 Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
E2. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities and progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(d)(3))		
E2-a. Does the plan describe how it was revised due to changes in community priorities?	Section 6.0, page 6.0-2	Choose an item.
E2-b. Does the plan include a status update for all mitigation actions identified in the previous mitigation plan?	Section 6.4 - Section 6.5, page 6.0-4 Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
E2-c. Does the plan describe how jurisdictions integrated the mitigation plan, when appropriate, into other planning mechanisms?	Section 5.6, page 5.0-16	Choose an item.
ELEMENT E REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision: Click or tap here to enter text.		

Element F: Plan Adoption

Element F Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
F1. For single-jurisdictional plans, has the governing body of the jurisdiction formally adopted the plan to be eligible for certain FEMA assistance? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(5))		
F1-a. Does the participant include documentation of adoption?	Section 8.0	Choose an item.
F2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has the governing body of each jurisdiction officially adopted the plan to be eligible for certain FEMA assistance? (Requirement 44 CFR § 201.6(c)(5))		
F2-a. Did each participant adopt the plan and provide documentation of that adoption?	Section 8.0	Choose an item.
ELEMENT F REQUIRED REVISIONS		
Required Revision: Click or tap here to enter text.		

Element G: High Hazard Potential Dams (Optional)

HHPD Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
HHPD1. Did the plan describe the incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports and technical information for HHPDs?		
HHPD1-a. Does the plan describe how the local government worked with local dam owners and/or the state dam safety agency?	Section 4.5, Page 4.0-20 - 23	Choose an item.
HHPD1-b. Does the plan incorporate information shared by the state and/or local dam owners?	Section 4.5	Choose an item.
HHPD2. Did the plan address HHPDs in the risk assessment?		
HHPD2-a. Does the plan describe the risks and vulnerabilities to and from HHPDs?	Section 4.5, page 4.0-23 - 26	Choose an item.
HHPD2-b. Does the plan document the limitations and describe how to address deficiencies?	Section 4.5, Page 4.0-25 - 26	Choose an item.

HHPD Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
HHPD3. Did the plan include mitigation goals to reduce long-term vulnerabilities from HHPDs?		
HHPD3-a. Does the plan address how to reduce vulnerabilities to and from HHPDs as part of its own goals or with other long-term strategies?	Section 6.2, Page 6.0-3	Choose an item.
HHPD3-b. Does the plan link proposed actions to reducing long-term vulnerabilities that are consistent with its goals?	Appendices Vol. I- Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
HHPD4-a. Did the plan include actions that address HHPDs and prioritize mitigation actions to reduce vulnerabilities from HHPDs?		
HHPD4-a. Does the plan describe specific actions to address HHPDs?	Appendices Vol. I- Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
HHPD4-b. Does the plan describe the criteria used to prioritize actions related to HHPDs?	Section 6.3, page 6.0-4	Choose an item.
HHPD4-c. Does the plan identify the position, office, department or agency responsible for implementing and administering the action to mitigate hazards to or from HHPDs?	Appendices Vol. I- Jurisdictional Information	Choose an item.
HHPD Required Revisions		
Required Revision: Click or tap here to enter text.		

Element H: Additional State Requirements (Optional)

Element H Requirements	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met / Not Met
This space is for the State to include additional requirements.		
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Choose an item.

Plan Assessment

These comments can be used to help guide your annual/regularly scheduled updates and the next plan update.

Element A. Planning Process

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

Element B. Risk Assessment

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

Element C. Mitigation Strategy

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

Element D. Plan Maintenance

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

Element E. Plan Update

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

Element G. HHPD Requirements (Optional)

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

Element H. Additional State Requirements (Optional)

Strengths

- [insert comments]

Opportunities for Improvement

- [insert comments]

APPENDIX B. RESOLUTION TEMPLATE

[MUNICIPALITY NAME], NJ

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF [MUNICIPALITY NAME] ADOPTING THE MONMOUTH COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS the [local governing body] recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within [Municipality Name]; and

WHEREAS the County of Monmouth has prepared a multi-hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as Monmouth County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, [date], in accordance with federal and state laws, including the [Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act](#), as amended; the [National Flood Insurance Act of 1968](#), as amended; and the [National Dam Safety Program Act](#), as amended; and New Jersey P.L. 2023, CHAPTER 313 approved January 16, 2024.

WHEREAS the Monmouth County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, [date], identifies current and future natural hazard risks, and assesses the vulnerability of facilities, infrastructure, and populations, and defines mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long term risk to people and property in (local government) from the impacts of future natural hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS adoption by the [local governing body] demonstrates its commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the Monmouth County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, [date].

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE [MUNICIPALITY NAME], NJ THAT:

Section 1. In accordance with (local rule for adopting resolutions), the [local governing body] adopts the Monmouth County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, [date]. While content related to [Municipality Name] may require revisions to meet the plan approval requirements, changes occurring after adoption will not require [Municipality Name] to re-adopt any further iterations of the plan. Subsequent plan updates following the approval period for this plan will require separate adoption resolutions.

ADOPTED by a vote of _____ in favor and _____ against, and _____ abstaining, this _____ day of _____, _____.

By: _____ (print name) ATTEST: By: _____

_____ (print name)

APPROVED AS TO FORM: By: _____ (print name)

APPENDIX C. CRS CROSSWALK

510 FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLANNING

512.a Floodplain Management Planning (FMP)

Municipality: _____

CRS Step	Note/ Section/ Page	Score	Total
1. Organize to prepare the plan. (15 Max)			
a. Involvement of Office Responsible for Community Planning (4)	Depends if municipal planner is involved in the plan update		11 - 15
b. Planning committee of department staff (9)	Section 3.2, page 3.0-2	9	
c. Process formally created by the community's governing board (2)	Appendix E. Local Resolutions	2	
2. Involve the public. (120 Max)			
a. Planning process conducted through a planning committee (60)	No members of the public were on the Steering Committee	0	60
b. Public meetings held at the beginning of the planning process (15)	Section 3.6, page 3.0-15	15	
c. Public meeting held on draft plan (15)	Section 3.6, page 3.0-16	15	
d. Other public information activities to encourage input (Up to 30)	Section 3.6, page 3.0-14	30	
3. Coordinate with other agencies. (35 Max)			
a. Review of existing studies and plans (required) (5)	Section 5.6, page 5.0-12	5	35
b. Coordinating with communities and other agencies (Up to 30)	Section 3.3, page 3.0-3	30	
c. Plan includes assessment of areas likely to flood (5)	Section 4.10, page 4.0-67, Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	5	
4. Assess the hazard. (Max 35)			
a. Plan includes an assessment of the flood hazard (REQUIRED) with:			35
(1) A map of known flood hazards (5)	Section 4.10, page 4.0-68, Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	5	
(2) A description of known flood hazard (5)	Section 4.10, page 4.0-67	5	
(3) A discussion of past floods (5)	Section 4.10, page 4.0-70	5	
b. Plan includes assessment of less frequent floods (10)	Section 4.10, page 4.0-67, Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	10	
c. Plan includes assessment of areas likely to flood (5)	Section 4.10, page 4.0-67, Appendices Vol. I – Jurisdictional Information	5	
d. The plan describes other natural hazards (REQUIRED FOR DMA) (5)	Section 4.4 through Section 4.26	5	

CRS Step

Municipality: _____

- 5. Assess the problem. (Max 52)
 - a. Summary of each hazard identified in the hazard assessment and their community impact (REQUIRED) (2)
 - b. If the plan includes a description of the impacts that the hazards identified in (25)
 - (1) 10 points, for life safety and public health
 - (2) 5 points, for critical facilities and infrastructure.
 - (3) 5 points, for the community’s economy and major employers.
 - (4) 5 points, for the number and types of affected buildings
 - d. Areas that provide natural floodplain functions (5)
 - e. Development/redevelopment/Population Trends (7)
 - f. Impact of future flooding conditions outlined in Step 4, item c (8)
- ** RL Category: (Insert A, B or C) **Category C**

- 6. Set goals. (required) (2)

- 7. Review possible activities. (Max 35)
 - a. Preventive activities (5)
 - b. Floodplain Management Regulatory/current & future conditions (5)
 - c. Property protection activities (5)
 - d. Natural resource protection activities (5)
 - e. Emergency services activities (5)
 - f. Structural projects (5)
 - g. Public information activities (5)

Note/Section/ **Score** **Total**
Page

Section 4.4 through Section 4.26	2	52
Section 4.4 through Section 4.26	10	
Section 4.4 through Section 4.26	5	
Section 4.4 through Section 4.26	5	
Section 2.4, page 2.0-22	5	
Section 2.2 through 2.3	7	
Section 4.10, page 4.0-78	8	

Section 6.2, page 6.0-2	2	2
-------------------------	---	---

Depends on each local mitigation strategy		0-35
Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
Depends on each local mitigation strategy		

CRS Step	Note/Section/Page	Score	Total
8. Draft an action plan. (Max 60)			
a. Actions must be prioritized (required)			
1. Recommendations for activities from two of the six categories (10)	Depends on each local mitigation strategy		0-60
2. Recommendations for activities from three of the six categories (20)	Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
3. Recommendations for activities from four of the six categories (30)	Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
4. Recommendations for activities from five of the six categories (45)	Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
b. Post-disaster mitigation policies and procedures (10)	Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
c. Action items for mitigation of other hazards (5)	Depends on each local mitigation strategy		
9. Adopt the plan. (2)	Appendix E. Local Resolutions	2	2
10. Implement, evaluate and revise. (Max 26)			
a. Procedures to monitor and recommend revisions (required) (2)	Section 7.2, page 7.0-2	2	26
b. Same planning committee or successor committee that qualifies under Section 511.a.2 (a) does the evaluation (24)	Section 7.2, page 7.0-2	24	

Notes/Comments: Note if step 5c is missed, or if score is capped at 50 points, reference FEMA approval

APPENDIX D. ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

Reporting Period (Year):

Jurisdiction:

Contact Name/Title:

Agency/Department:

Contact Email:

Contact Phone:

1. Did any significant hazard events occur within the past year? Any changes to your hazards of concern?

2. Have there been any reports, studies, or documentation of change in potential hazards, that you are aware of?

3. Have there been any major changes in growth or development?

4. Are there any mitigation projects underway or completed? Any actions that no longer are applicable or needed? (Brief Project Description)

5. Are there any new projects you would like to add to your mitigation strategy?

6. Have you engaged the public on hazard mitigation? If so, how?

APPENDIX E. LOCAL RESOLUTIONS

[Placeholder until FEMA APA]

DRAFT

From: [Dremluk, Brittany](#)
To: [heather@jbsoulfoundation.org](#); [shelter@marketstreet.org](#); [Mrazik, Anne](#); [codebluemc@housingall.org](#); [alka1995@yahoo.com](#); [candace.sparks@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [casey@jbsoulfoundation.org](#); [Christine.Aumack@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [Merkel, Christopher](#); [codeblue@nj211.org](#); [Darlene_wilson@verizon.net](#); [dorothea@jbsoulkitchen.org](#); [efarry@mentalhealthmonmouth.org](#); [Felipe.Concha@USE.SalvationArmy.Org](#); [Geoff Persey](#); [HSmith@centrastate.com](#); [hollyhillmotel36@gmail.com](#); [iwitter@marketstreet.org](#); [Jamee.Coleman@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [Jared@thefeastnj.org](#); [jennifer.dingler@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [Jennifer.Salus@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [Krautle, Jeryl](#); [kartikpatel1975@yahoo.com](#); [Kcongero@monarchhousing.org](#); [katetriggiano@gmail.com](#); [kmcadoo@cymca.org](#); [Lisa.Mark@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [mdecota@marketstreet.org](#); [Melissa.Campbell@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [Nicole.Cyr@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [nicole@jbsoulkitchen.org](#); [pam@hopeshedsflight.org](#); [ptansey@marketstreet.org](#); [ravi@watermarkh.com](#); [Naikdhaval@gmail.com](#); [ParakhDani22@gmail.com](#); [savannah@trinitynj.com](#); [hsafty@hotmail.com](#); [steve.brown@fbcredbank.com](#); [steve@thefeastnj.org](#); [rsheth87@gmail.com](#); [Susan.Donnely@co.monmouth.nj.us](#); [teena@thefeast.org](#); [teenapeavy33@gmail.com](#); [Tessa@jbsoulkitchen.org](#); [tgiglio@marketstreet.org](#); [bethp@interfaithap.Wpengine.com](#); [qanderson@uwmc.org](#); [cjagerburger@uwmc.org](#); [lando.turner@rwjh.org](#); [Mike Oppegaard](#); [Verrico, Joanmarie](#); [Santiago, Nelson](#); [Mihrete, Kifle](#); [Boynton, Peter-Donnell](#); [officemgr@allentownpresbyterian.org](#); [Stephanie Seymour](#); [Scott Nielsen](#)
Cc: [Dennis LoGiudice](#)
Subject: Monmouth County HMP Stakeholder Meeting
Start: Thursday, January 23, 2025 1:00:00 PM
End: Thursday, January 23, 2025 2:00:00 PM
Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Michael Baker is assisting Monmouth County update their hazard mitigation plan. In recognition that different populations have different vulnerabilities, and capacity to prepare, respond and recover from hazard events, we are seeking input from members of the public and organizations that work with residents and businesses on the risks natural hazards pose in the community and what actions can help reduce the risk to residents, businesses, visitors.

Your organization was identified as a potential key stakeholder. We invite you to join a virtual meeting to discuss this hazard mitigation plan update. Please forward to any organization not included in this email.

Microsoft Teams Need help? <<https://aka.ms/JoinTeamsMeeting?omkt=en-US>>

Join the meeting now <https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_M2YwYVViODItZmNlMC00NzFjLWJjODctN2EwOGMxZmQ5NGU1%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%224e1ee3db-4df6-4142-b7b9-bee15f171ca4%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22b3cf70a6-6970-4832-8495-638ac6b38223%22%7d>

Meeting ID: 221 854 340 026

Passcode: Qw9JN3NC

Dial in by phone

+1 412-634-6334,,836846811# <tel:+14126346334,,836846811> United States, Elizabeth

Find a local number <<https://dialin.teams.microsoft.com/acddf4cc-ae11-4cc5-ab52-a7338248c8d6?id=836846811>>

Phone conference ID: 836 846 811#

Join on a video conferencing device

Tenant key: mbakerintl@m.webex.com <mailto:mbakerintl@m.webex.com>

Video ID: 111 354 966 7

More info <<https://www.webex.com/msteams?confid=1113549667&tenantkey=mbakerintl&domain=m.webex.com>>

For organizers: Meeting options <https://teams.microsoft.com/meetingOptions/?organizerId=b3cf70a6-6970-4832-8495-638ac6b38223&tenantId=4e1ee3db-4df6-4142-b7b9-bee15f171ca4&threadId=19_meeting_M2YwYVViODItZmNlMC00NzFjLWJjODctN2EwOGMxZmQ5NGU1@thread.v2&messageId=0&language=en-US> | Reset dial-in PIN <<https://dialin.teams.microsoft.com/usp/pstnconferencing>>

From: [Dremluk, Brittany](#)
To: [Stephanie Seymour](#); [Mirazik, Anne](#); [shernandez@commvault.com](#); [therring@monmouth.edu](#); [Ashlyn Spector](#); [cantonucci@njseagrant.org](#); [amacdona@monmouth.edu](#); [mcomi@monmouth.edu](#); [ben_waldron@modc.com](#); [chief@monmouthcountyspca.org](#); [info@freeholdscd.org](#); [president@monmouth.edu](#); [teresa@monmoutharts.org](#); [walnridgeequine@aol.com](#); [rescueridgeshelter@gmail.com](#); [woiplacement@gmail.com](#); [Regina McGrade](#); [nick.tufaro@co.middlesex.nj.us](#); [jbenner@mercercounty.org](#); [Stanuikynas, Thomas](#); [victoria.lonnay@amwater.com](#); [cscerbo@njresources.com](#); [rkumpf@njresources.com](#); [michael.lloyd@erickson.com](#); [rbrice@firstenergycorp.com](#); [KContos@FirstEnergyCorp.com](#); [cdonaldson@firstenergycorp.com](#); [tvallecillo@firstenergycorp.com](#); [rhhauter@njng.com](#); [Barris, Joe](#); [Neary, Bridget](#)
Subject: Monmouth County HMP - Regional Stakeholder Meeting
Start: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 11:00:00 AM
End: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 12:00:00 PM
Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Michael Baker is assisting Monmouth County update their hazard mitigation plan. In recognition that neighboring communities, regional agencies and organizations involved in hazard mitigation, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development have the capacity to prepare, respond, and recover from hazard events, we are seeking input from members of these organizations and agencies. We would also like to hear what actions can help reduce risk to residents, businesses, visitors. Your organization was identified as a potential key stakeholder.

= We invite you to join a virtual meeting to discuss this hazard mitigation plan update. Please forward to any organization not included in this email.

Microsoft Teams Need help? <<https://aka.ms/JoinTeamsMeeting?omkt=en-US>>

Join the meeting now <https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_OTRkZDM5NjQtMWQ5MC00ZWY4LTlmMDUtZjRmZjdiZTdiNjY0%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%224e1ee3db-4df6-4142-b7b9-bec15f171ca4%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22b3cf70a6-6970-4832-8495-638ac6b38223%22%7d>

Meeting ID: 235 999 612 329

Passcode: Sv7fA9bp

Dial in by phone

+1 412-634-6334,,43832314# <tel:+14126346334,,43832314> United States, Elizabeth

Find a local number <<https://dialin.teams.microsoft.com/acddf4cc-ae11-4ec5-ab52-a7338248c8d6?id=43832314>>

Phone conference ID: 438 323 14#

Join on a video conferencing device

Tenant key: mbakerintl@m.webex.com <<mailto:mbakerintl@m.webex.com>>

Video ID: 112 889 703 6

More info <<https://www.webex.com/msteams?confid=1128897036&tenantkey=mbakerintl&domain=m.webex.com>>

For organizers: Meeting options <https://teams.microsoft.com/meetingOptions/?organizerId=b3cf70a6-6970-4832-8495-638ac6b38223&tenantId=4e1ee3db-4df6-4142-b7b9-bec15f171ca4&threadId=19_meeting_OTRkZDM5NjQtMWQ5MC00ZWY4LTlmMDUtZjRmZjdiZTdiNjY0@thread.v2&messageId=0&language=en-US> | Reset dial-in PIN <<https://dialin.teams.microsoft.com/usp/pstnconferencing>>

From: [Dremluk, Brittany](#)
To: [Stephanie Seymour](#); [Mirazik, Anne](#); [McMillan, Jamie](#); [Pete Canal](#); [Tom Petti](#); [Brian.brach@mrrsa.org](#); [etuberionjr@mcboanj.com](#); [mgianforte@trwra.org](#); [Ryan Krause](#); [sbagadinski@wmua.manalapan.nj.us](#); [brischman@trwra.org](#); [llechner@trwra.org](#); [executivedirector@tosa-nj.org](#); [JMannarino@tomsanj.com](#); [troguski@lbsa.net](#); [dellapietro@tnsa-nj.org](#)
Subject: Monmouth County HMP - Regional Utility Authorities
Start: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 1:00:00 PM
End: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 2:00:00 PM
Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Michael Baker is assisting Monmouth County update their hazard mitigation plan. In recognition that regional utility authorities have the capacity to prepare, respond, and recover from hazard events, we are seeking input from members of these organizations and agencies. We would also like to hear what actions can help reduce risk to residents, businesses, visitors. Your organization was identified as a potential key stakeholder.

We invite you to join a virtual meeting to discuss this hazard mitigation plan update. Please forward to any organization not included in this email.

Microsoft Teams Need help? <<https://aka.ms/JoinTeamsMeeting?omkt=en-US>>

Join the meeting now <https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_NjZlNjg3MjEtMTkZS00ODc4LTgzMWMtMTg5MWMxOTJlMTFm%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%224e1ee3db-4df6-4142-b7b9-bec15f171ca4%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22b3cf70a6-6970-4832-8495-638ac6b38223%22%7d>

Meeting ID: 215 850 359 396

Passcode: T7cX69Mf

Dial in by phone

+1 412-634-6334,,449329400# <tel:+14126346334,,449329400> United States, Elizabeth

Find a local number <<https://dialin.teams.microsoft.com/acddf4cc-ae11-4ec5-ab52-a7338248c8d6?id=449329400>>

Phone conference ID: 449 329 400#

Join on a video conferencing device

Tenant key: mbakerintl@m.webex.com <mailto:mbakerintl@m.webex.com>

Video ID: 117 914 425 3

More info <<https://www.webex.com/msteams?confid=1179144253&tenantkey=mbakerintl&domain=m.webex.com>>

For organizers: Meeting options <https://teams.microsoft.com/meetingOptions/?organizerId=b3cf70a6-6970-4832-8495-638ac6b38223&tenantId=4e1ee3db-4df6-4142-b7b9-bec15f171ca4&threadId=19_meeting_NjZlNjg3MjEtMTkZS00ODc4LTgzMWMtMTg5MWMxOTJlMTFm@thread.v2&messageId=0&language=en-US> | Reset dial-in PIN <<https://dialin.teams.microsoft.com/usp/pstnconferencing>>

	Planning & Regulatory Capabilities																			
	Master Plan	Capital Improvement Plan	Local Emergency Operations Plan/Continuity of Operations Plan	Floodplain Development Ordinance	Floodplain Management Plan	Stormwater Management Ordinance	Stormwater Management Plan	Watershed Management Plan	Sheltering Plan	Evacuation Plan	Substantial Damage/Improved Structures Response	Repetitive Loss Plan	Disaster Debris Management Plan	Tracking elevation certificates and/or Letter of Map Change	Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Current/recent redevelopment plans or studies	Community Wildfire Protection Plan	Climate Adaptation Plan	Other Plans that discuss hazard mitigation	Other ordinance and regulation that mitigate the impacts of natural hazards
Aberdeen, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x	
Allenhurst, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x		x				x	
Allentown, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x							x	
Asbury Park, City of	x		x	x		x	x		x	x	x		x			x			x	
Atlantic Highlands, Borough of	x		x	x					x	x										x
Avon-By-The-Sea, Borough of	x																			
Belmar, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		x	x	x			x	
Bradley Beach, Borough of	x		x	x	x	x	x		x	x			x	x	x				x	
Brielle, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x			x						x					
Colts Neck, Township of	x	x	x						x	x									x	
Deal, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x				x						
Eatontown, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x			x		x	x			x	
Englishtown, Borough of																				
Fair Haven, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x			x						x	x
Farmingdale, Borough of	x			x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x		x	x				
Freehold, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x			x			x	x
Freehold, Township of	x			x		x	x							x						
Hazlet, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x					x	
Highlands, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x			x		x			x	
Holmdel, Township of																				
Howell, Township of	x	x	x	x		x	x		x		x		x				x	x	x	
Interlaken, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x			x						x
Keansburg, Borough of					x	x	x													x
Keyport, Borough of	x	x	x	x		x					x			x	x	x			x	x
Lake Como, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x			x	x	x	x			x	x
Little Silver, Borough of	x	x	x	x				x	x	x			x						x	x
Loch Arbour, Village of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x			x						x
Long Branch, City of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x			x	x					
Manalapan, Township of	x			x		x	x													
Manasquan, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x			x	x				x	
Marlboro, Township of	x	x	x	x		x	x								x					x
Matawan, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x					x					
Middletown, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x		x	x	x	x			x	
Millstone, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x		x	x	x					x
Monmouth Beach, Borough of	x		x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x			x	x					
Neptune City, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x					x				x	
Neptune, Township of	x	x	x	x		x					x		x	x			x		x	x
Ocean, Township of	x		x	x		x					x			x						x
Oceanport, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x		x			x	x
Red Bank, Borough of	x	x	x	x		x													x	x
Roosevelt, Borough of	x		x		x		x		x	x	x				x	x				
Rumson, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x	x			x	
Sea Bright, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x		x		x			x	x
Sea Girt, Borough of	x	x	x	x		x	x		x	x			x	x	x					
Shrewsbury, Borough of	x	x		x	x	x	x													
Shrewsbury, Township of						x														
Spring Lake, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x					
Spring Lake Hts., Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x	x				x	x
Tinton Falls, Borough of	x	x	x	x		x	x				x			x		x			x	
Union Beach, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x		x	x		x				
Upper Freehold, Township of	x		x	x		x			x	x	x		x		x	x	x			x
Wall, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		x	x			x	x
West Long Branch, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x			x	x						
Total Number of Municipalities with Capability	48	38	44	45	33	45	38	7	32	36	25	3	27	26	17	24	3	1	27	17

	Administrative and Technical Capabilities					
	Floodplain Administrator	Grant Writer	Staff trained to support mitigation	Existing mutual aid or technical assistance agreements to support hazard mitigation projects	Non-governmental organizations/other partners that work with the municipality on mitigation projects	Organizations that work with socially vulnerable or underserved populations
Aberdeen, Township of	x	x		x	x	x
Allenhurst, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Allentown, Borough of	x	x	x			
Asbury Park, City of	x					
Atlantic Highlands, Borough of	x	x				x
Avon-By-The-Sea, Borough of						
Belmar, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	
Bradley Beach, Borough of	x	x			x	
Brielle, Borough of	x					
Colts Neck, Township of		x	x	x		
Deal, Borough of	x	x	x			
Eatontown, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	
Englishtown, Borough of						
Fair Haven, Borough of	x	x	x	x		
Farmingdale, Borough of	x	x	x			
Freehold, Borough of	x		x	x	x	x
Freehold, Township of	x	x	x			
Hazlet, Township of	x			x		
Highlands, Borough of	x	x	x	x		x
Holmdel, Township of						
Howell, Township of	x	x				
Interlaken, Borough of	x	x	x			
Keansburg, Borough of						
Keyport, Borough of	x	x	x			
Lake Como, Borough of	x		x	x		x
Little Silver, Borough of	x		x	x	x	
Loch Arbour, Village of	x	x	x			
Long Branch, City of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Manalapan, Township of	x					
Manasquan, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Marlboro, Township of	x					
Matawan, Borough of		x		x		x
Middletown, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Millstone, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Monmouth Beach, Borough of	x	x	x	x		
Neptune City, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	
Neptune, Township of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Ocean, Township of	x	x	x			
Oceanport, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Red Bank, Borough of	x	x				
Roosevelt, Borough of	x		x	x	x	
Rumson, Borough of	x		x			
Sea Bright, Borough of	x	x	x			
Sea Girt, Borough of	x		x	x		
Shrewsbury, Borough of	x	x	x			x
Shrewsbury, Township of						
Spring Lake, Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	
Spring Lake Hts., Borough of	x	x	x	x	x	x
Tinton Falls, Borough of	x	x	x		x	
Union Beach, Borough of	x	x	x			
Upper Freehold, Township of			x	x		x
Wall, Township of	x			x	x	x
West Long Branch, Borough of	x	x	x	x		
Total Number of Municipalities with Capability	44	34	36	27	19	17

	Education and Outreach Capabilities				
	Communicate natural and human-based hazards to the public	StormReady	Firewise USA	Severe Weather Awareness Week	Community Rating System (CRS)
Aberdeen, Township of	x	x	x	x	x
Allenhurst, Borough of	x				
Allentown, Borough of	x				
Asbury Park, City of	x	x			
Atlantic Highlands, Borough of	x				
Avon-By-The-Sea, Borough of					
Belmar, Borough of	x	x			x
Bradley Beach, Borough of	x	x			x
Brielle, Borough of	x				
Colts Neck, Township of	x			x	
Deal, Borough of	x				
Eatontown, Borough of	x	x			x
Englishtown, Borough of					
Fair Haven, Borough of	x				
Farmingdale, Borough of	x			x	
Freehold, Borough of	x	x	x		
Freehold, Township of					
Hazlet, Township of	x	x	x		x
Highlands, Borough of	x				x
Holmdel, Township of					
Howell, Township of	x			x	
Interlaken, Borough of	x				
Keansburg, Borough of	x				
Keyport, Borough of	x				
Lake Como, Borough of	x				
Little Silver, Borough of	x				
Loch Arbour, Village of	x				
Long Branch, City of	x				x
Manalapan, Township of	x				
Manasquan, Borough of	x	x			x
Marlboro, Township of	x				
Matawan, Borough of		x			
Middletown, Township of	x	x		x	x
Millstone, Township of	x				
Monmouth Beach, Borough of	x			x	
Neptune City, Borough of	x				
Neptune, Township of	x	x			x
Ocean, Township of	x				x
Oceanport, Borough of	x	x			x
Red Bank, Borough of	x				
Roosevelt, Borough of	x				
Rumson, Borough of	x	x			
Sea Bright, Borough of	x	x			x
Sea Girt, Borough of	x				
Shrewsbury, Borough of	x	x		x	x
Shrewsbury, Township of	x				
Spring Lake, Borough of	x				x
Spring Lake Hts., Borough of	x	x		x	
Tinton Falls, Borough of	x				
Union Beach, Borough of	x	x			x
Upper Freehold, Township of	x	x		x	
Wall, Township of	x				x
West Long Branch, Borough of	x	x		x	
Total Number of Municipalities with Capability	48	19	3	10	17

	Financial Capabilities									
	FEMA BRIC	FEMA FMA	FEMA Public Assistance	FEMA HMGP	Non-FEMA Federal Funding Programs	Other FEMA resources	NJ Infrastructure Bank	Other state municipal assistance or grant programs	Evaluation process on the prioritization of risk reduction projects against other local activities	Other ongoing efforts to build additional financial capabilities
Aberdeen, Township of					X	X	X	X	X	X
Allenhurst, Borough of										
Allentown, Borough of							X		X	X
Asbury Park, City of				X				X		
Atlantic Highlands, Borough of									X	
Avon-By-The-Sea, Borough of										
Belmar, Borough of								X	X	X
Bradley Beach, Borough of										
Brielle, Borough of										
Colts Neck, Township of										
Deal, Borough of										
Eatontown, Borough of	X	X	X		X			X		X
Englishtown, Borough of										
Fair Haven, Borough of					X			X		
Farmingdale, Borough of					X		X			
Freehold, Borough of			X		X			X	X	X
Freehold, Township of			X	X				X		
Hazlet, Township of					X					
Highlands, Borough of						X	X	X		X
Holmdel, Township of										
Howell, Township of								X		
Interlaken, Borough of										
Keansburg, Borough of			X	X						
Keyport, Borough of				X		X		X	X	X
Lake Como, Borough of										
Little Silver, Borough of										
Loch Arbour, Village of										
Long Branch, City of	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	
Manalapan, Township of										
Manasquan, Borough of					X			X	X	X
Marlboro, Township of				X	X		X			
Matawan, Borough of			X	X				X		
Middletown, Township of		X						X	X	X
Millstone, Township of			X		X			X	X	X
Monmouth Beach, Borough of				X				X		
Neptune City, Borough of										
Neptune, Township of	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Ocean, Township of										
Oceanport, Borough of	X							X	X	X
Red Bank, Borough of										
Roosevelt, Borough of										
Rumson, Borough of					X			X	X	
Sea Bright, Borough of										
Sea Girt, Borough of										
Shrewsbury, Borough of			X		X			X		
Shrewsbury, Township of										
Spring Lake, Borough of								X	X	
Spring Lake Hts., Borough of				X					X	X
Tinton Falls, Borough of									X	
Union Beach, Borough of										
Upper Freehold, Township of			X							
Wall, Township of			X		X			X	X	X
West Long Branch, Borough of										
Total Number of Municipalities with Capability	4	4	11	10	14	4	5	22	17	14